UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,440	04/22/2004	Herve Richard	1016800-000632	6323
	7590 12/20/2000 INGERSOLL & ROON	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/829,440	RICHARD, HERVE	•	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	•	

Advisory Action	·		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	,
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(1 TOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1 and 4.		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·	•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See attached Advisory Action. 			
	Ver	Venkataraman Ba Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624	New-Uk-unda lasubramanian

Art Unit: 1624

ADVISORY ACTION

The applicants' response filed 11/21/2006 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered. Claims 1, 4 and 5-23 are pending.

In view of applicants' response, particularly amendment to claims 1 and 4 and cancellation of claims 2-3, all 112 second and first paragraph rejections made in the previous office action have been obviated.

Claims 1 and 4 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in MPEP § 821.04(B), claims 5-23 directed to the composition and the process of using an allowable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, Claims 5-23 are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Because all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement as set forth in the Office action mailed on 9/28/2005 is hereby withdrawn. In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the rejoined inventions, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

In view of the above said rejoinder the following apply to claims 5-23.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,440

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

1. Recitation of "at least one" compound of claim 1 in claim 5 renders claim 5 and its

dependent claims indefinite as it is not clear what else is included in this composition

claim. Note at least one implies beside the compound off claim1 other active agents

may be present and in such situation it is not known what is included in the claim 5

besides compound of formula I. See also claims 6-23 for this phrase. Its replacement

one or more of compound of claim 1 is suggested.

Due to lack of time to resolve this issue by examiner's amendment, this office

action is issued.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 4 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to

Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to

6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is James O.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/829,440

Art Unit: 1624

Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Veukoukaraman Balisakramanian
Venkataraman Balasubramanian

12/14/2006